

Richard Liddell QC

Call: 1999

Silk: 2020

+442078222000

r.liddell@4newsquare.com

Clerk: Alex Dolby

a.dolby@4newsquare.com

+442078222036

+447912405151



Has very good technical knowledge and is extremely effective at client service. He takes a rigorous approach to his work.

- Chambers & Partners

Richard Liddell QC's practice primarily covers four areas: commercial disputes (including insurance coverage and international arbitration), professional liability claims, construction and engineering litigation and sports law.

Many of his cases have an international dimension and he is frequently instructed in commercial and construction disputes in the Middle East before the DIFC Courts (where he has rights of audience) and in arbitration claims.

Rick is currently instructed to advise on various coverage issues arising from the COVID-19 outbreak.

In addition to acting as an advocate and adviser, Rick is also regularly appointed as Arbitrator.

Rick took silk in 2020 and is recommended as a leading practitioner in the legal Directories for Professional Negligence, Construction and Sports law. Quotes from the Directories include:

"A superb advocate"; "We received amazing feedback for him from our clients"; "A team player and is excellent on his feet"; "Intelligent and meticulous"; "Very bright"; "Charismatic"; "Very personable"; "Good under pressure"; "He is very well liked by clients, works hard and has a very amicable manner"; "Extremely user-friendly and proactive"; "Solicitors note his collaborative approach and the ease with which he integrates into a team. His expertise spans the entire range of professional indemnity work"; "He manages difficult clients well"; "Very good on dispute strategy and tactics" and "Good at cutting through the noise to get at the points that matter." [Professional Negligence]

"Extremely talented"; "A very able courtroom performer and shrewd tactician"; "has the ability to pick up and analyse complex technical information"; "demonstrates particular strength in cases concerning architects, specialist consultants and civil and structural engineers. He regularly acts as sole counsel in adjudications and arbitration and has appeared as junior counsel in the Court of Appeal and Supreme Court. He is becoming steadily more involved in disputes occurring in the Middle East and East Asia". [Construction]

"He's a talented performer in the courtroom"; "A welcome addition to the front Bench - a brilliant sports law lawyer with exceptional professional regulatory skills as part of his all-round talent. A vast array of sports-related experience and expertise. Tactical nous. Excellent manner with clients"; "Extremely talented in this field, having acted across a number of sports. A powerful advocate and a joy to work with"; "He is highly skilled, knows his brief and is not aggressive, but is certainly highly effective"; "Proving himself to be a rising star at the Bar for horseracing cases." "A very competent barrister with a broad sports practice." [Sport]

He prides himself on being an incisive and responsive barrister and a user-friendly team player. He places particular emphasis on having a command of the detail but without getting bogged down in trivia and being able to provide the best practical and commercial solution to legal problems.

He has wide advocacy experience and appears before the Commercial Court, Chancery Division, TCC and appellate courts as well as in sports disciplinary tribunals, including the Court of Arbitration for Sport (CAS). Rick relishes his role as an advocate.

Rick also has a very strong arbitration practice and is currently involved in a number of high-value ICC and LCIA Arbitrations with seats in Dubai, Paris, London and Abu Dhabi Global Market (ADGM). The subject matter of his arbitration practice ranges from construction disputes to insurance coverage disputes to sports law and he is experienced in acting in arbitration claims where the governing law is not English law.

Rick has been involved in numerous other high-profile cases, including *Aspect v Higgins* (Supreme Court), *Mengiste v Endowment Fund* (CA), *Elvanite v AMEC* (TCC), *Linklaters Business Services v How Engineering Services Ltd & Ors* (TCC), *The Innovator Litigation* (substantial multi-party Commercial Court litigation), *Amec Group Ltd v Assicurazioni Generali S.p.A.* (multi-million pound construction insurance litigation), *Mandrake v Countrywide* (Chancery Division and CA), *The TAG litigation* and the *Hillside Girl Inquiry* (Horseracing corruption hearing).

Rick is also recognised and regarded for offering expertise in the fields of sports law and sports disciplinary law. He is regularly instructed by World Rugby and has acted for numerous other international and domestic governing bodies, including the IAAF, England Netball and England Lacrosse. His cases include acting for an Olympic Gold Medalist in a selection appeal to British Rowing, the National Governing Body for Rowing; successful high profile doping appeals on behalf of the International Association of Athletics Federations at CAS; acting for a Spanish football club in a CAS appeal; advising a professional footballer on a doping appeal to the FA; advising rugby players in doping appeals and disciplinary cases; and acting in FA Rule K Arbitrations.

Rick also regularly sits as an arbitrator and was recently a member of an English Football League Arbitration Panel (together with Sir David Foskett and Andrew Smith) which determined an appeal brought by a League Two Football Club against a decision of a Disciplinary Commission. He is also frequently appointed as a sole arbitrator in FA Rule K Arbitrations.

Privacy Policy

Click here for a [Privacy Policy](#) for Richard Liddell.

Transparency Statement

Click here for the [Transparency Statement](#) for Richard Liddell.

Areas of Expertise

Equine Disputes

Rick has extensive experience of acting in equine related disputes, including ownership disputes and claims against veterinary surgeons and farriers. He has [considerable experience of acting in cases involving horses](#) in civil litigation and also in cases before the British Horseracing Authority (acting for the BHA and also acting for trainers and jockeys).

Cases include:

- [Claim by showjumping trainer against a farrier](#): Acted for the Claimant (a showjumping trainer) in a substantial High Court claim against her former farrier arising from a valuable horse being unable to compete again as a result of laminitis.
- [Claim by purchasers of an eventing horse against the seller](#): Acted for the Claimants who purchased a horse from a company specialising in selling horses as “events/showjumpers/hunters”. On being ridden for the first time following arrival at the Claimants’ yard, the horse was found to be unsound; and it transpired the horse had a pre-existing degenerative condition

(osteochondrosis).

- Claim between two individuals relating to the purchase, keep and training of show jumping horses: Acted for the Claimant in a breach of contract claim arising from agreements between the parties whereby the Claimant would fund the purchase of horses recommended to him by the Defendant; and the Defendant would train the horses and pay all ongoing costs and the parties would split any profits upon the sale of the horses.
- Veterinary Negligence claims: Acted for veterinary surgeons in professional negligence claims, including a claim arising from the death of a famous eventing horse; and acted in a claim arising from the allegedly negligent treatment of sand cracks.
- Racehorse Owners Association: Instructed on behalf of the ROA in connection with a complaint by some of their members (owners) in relation to the purchase of a horse).
- National Trainers Federation: Instructed by the NTF to represent trainers in disciplinary matters, including Alan Barry (trainer) in the HILLSIDE GIRL enquiry.
- British Horseracing Authority: Instructed by the BHA to advise and to appear at numerous Enquiries, disciplinary hearings and appeal hearings. The subject matter of the cases were varied, including: corruption and financial impropriety; bringing horseracing into disrepute and other improper conduct (including horse welfare issues); prohibited substance cases; non-triers and interference disputes. Many of these cases involved cross-examination of individuals and experts, including veterinary surgeons.
- Representing Trainers and Jockeys: in cases before the BHA, including running and riding appeals and prohibited substance cases.
- Selection Disputes: acted for a high-profile eventer in a selection dispute in the lead up to the Rio 2016 Olympic Games.

Qualifications & Memberships

He is a member of COMBAR, PNBA, the Chancery Bar Association and the British Association for Sport and Law. He is also a member of the IBA and IPBA.

Education – M.A. (Cantab.) LL.M. (Cantab.)

Insights

Sporting Event Cancellation Insurance: Will it play ball or be kicked into touch?

25 March 2020

Many events, including music, theatre, conferences and exhibitions have been cancelled or postponed in the wake of COVID-19 and more will follow.

Shareholder Disputes in Sport

24 July 2019

As the law of unfair prejudice in the conduct of companies' affairs has developed, sports clubs (particularly football and rugby clubs) have proved to be fertile sources of disputes between shareholders. In this article, we examine unfair prejudice petitions which have concerned the sports sector to look at the effects of those decisions and at what we can learn not just about the sorts of shareholder disputes which arise in sports clubs but also what we can learn from those decisions and apply to shareholder disputes in other contexts.

Sport and anti-doping – the year in review 2018/19

16 May 2019

Richard Liddell and William Harman of 4 New Square wrote an anti-doping update for Law In Sport on 16th May 2019. Its primary focus is UK anti-doping, decisions in the Court of Arbitration for Sport (CAS) and developments relating to the major international anti-doping bodies.

Orascom TMT Investments S.À.R.L v. Veon Ltd [2018] EWHC 985 (Comm)

14 May 2018

The Commercial Court has recently criticised the practice that is commonly adopted for presenting challenges under sections 67 &



68 of the Arbitration Act 1996. Ben Elkington QC and Rick Liddell review the decision:

GDPR and Sport: make sure that you're on the ball!

11 May 2018

Richard Liddell, Kendrah Potts and William Harman look at some of the principal enforcement risks facing sporting bodies under the new regime described by the ICO as a “game-changer” and consider how the GDPR might feed into existing facets of sports dispute resolution.