



NEW SQUARE

Matthew Bradley

Call: 2004

+442078222000 m.bradley@4newsquare.com

Clerk: Dennis Peck

d.peck@4newsquare.com

+442078222040 +447912405149



He is a pleasure to work with, good on his feet and very engaging. He's good at reading the courtroom, very commercial, and innovative with his ideas. He is friendly, approachable and easy to speak to.

- Chambers & Partners 2021

Matthew specialises in commercial and commercial chancery litigation and arbitration. He is ranked by the legal directories as a leading junior in the fields of commercial disputes, commercial chancery, company and product liability law.

Further areas of focus include civil fraud, offshore work and professional negligence disputes. He has a particular interest and significant experience in economic torts and conspiracy claims. Much of his work is international in nature, often involving conflicts of law and jurisdictional issues. Commendations from commentators in the legal directories include:

Advocacy - *"an excellent advocate who knows how to read a courtroom"; "a superb cross-examiner"; "an eloquent advocate and fierce in cross examination"; "good on his feet and very engaging"; "nothing less than superman in cross-examination and mediation"; "An assassin in cross-examination and clearly bound for the very highest echelons of the Bar".*

Analysis - *"technically very strong"; "His advice is absolutely brilliant. You can give him a very complicated set of facts and he just takes control and guides you through what you need to do"; "innovative with his ideas"; "strong on paper with an eye for detail"; "demonstrates excellent commercial know-how".*

Paperwork - *"a wordsmith, both in settling pleadings and penning correspondence"; "an excellent junior, whose advice is amazingly coherent and concise"; "turns stuff around really, really quickly and his paperwork is great."*

Working Style - *"a pleasure to work with"; "a really responsive, affable barrister who's commercial in his outlook, and who will bend over backwards to get things done."; "impresses with his enthusiasm and his willingness to go the extra mile."; "Extremely user friendly and very much works in partnership with the instructing solicitor"; "excellent sense of humour."; "Friendly, approachable and easy to speak to".*

He relishes trials and has extensive trial advocacy experience as sole counsel. He also acts in a variety of mediations and other forms of ADR. He is regularly instructed, often at short notice, to deal with applications for interim relief such as freezing injunctions and Norwich Pharmacal orders. He speaks French, German and Spanish.

The following cases provide a flavour of his most recent practice:

- *Sytner & Ors v Vaughan* [2020] (Chan Div) - successful strike out of c. £5m claim (fraudulent breach of fiduciary duty etc.)

against investment manager; resisting Norwich Pharmacal relief; indemnity costs.

- Numerous ongoing unfair prejudice petitions under the Companies Act 2006.
- *Vale v Steinmetz & Ors* [2020] (Comm Ct) - acting for defendant to US\$1.8 billion claim by Brazilian mining company re joint venture in Guinea (on-going - bribery, deceit, unlawful means conspiracy, proprietary claims, freezing injunctions).
- *Ang v Reliantco Investments Ltd* [2019] 3 WLR 161 - jurisdiction challenge under Brussels regime; consumer status under Art.17. Judgment pending after 2020 Commercial Court trial of claim and counterclaim (defence of deceit and claim to set aside previous judgment for fraud).
- Acting for well-known German manufacturer in ongoing multimillion pound dispute concerning defective supply of oil isolation valves for use in domestic boilers.
- *Grantham Capital v Langham & Ors* [2020] (Comm Ct) - acting for defendants in c.£8m claim for fraudulent breach of warranty arising out of the sale of a company (on-going).
- ICC mediation (2020) - international sale of goods dispute in energy sector.
- *Palmer Birch v Lloyd & Anr* [2018] 4 WLR 164; [2018] 180 ConLR 50; [2018] BLR 722 - successfully representing claimant in economic torts trial (procuring breach of contract, unlawful means conspiracy). Significant decision: economic torts overcame effects of a company insolvency.
- *Syndicate Bank v Dansingani & Ors* [2019] EWHC 3439 (Ch) - successful undue influence defence; rescission of personal guarantee and mortgage entered into 13 years apart; indemnity costs (2020).
- *Goknur v Organic Village Ltd* [2019] EWHC 2201 - defending Turkish company at trial of claim re adulterated fruit juice: claim in deceit defeated; no substantive damages awarded to claimant.
- *Naqvi v Harris Cartier & Ors* [2019] EWHC 3042 (QB) - successful summary judgment application for solicitors facing £10.2 million claim for allegedly negligent conduct of litigation.
- LCIA arbitration in Russian oil and gas sector - arguments as to force majeure and the effects of "take or pay" obligations.
- *Kupeli & Ors v (1) Kibris Turk Hava Yollari Sirketi* [2016] EWHC 930 (QB), [2016] 4 Costs LR 747 - representing as sole counsel 837 claimants in trial of test claims for breach of contract against Turkish airline. Costs appeal in Court of Appeal, reported at [2018] 4 All ER 434; [2019] 1 WLR 1235.
- Multi-Jurisdictional trusts dispute re BVI/Cayman/Curaçao trust companies, worth many millions. Questions of foreign law, fraud, conspiracy, dishonest assistance, knowing receipt & double derivative actions.
- *Pilgrim Rock Ltd v Iwaniuk* [2019] GCCR 17013; [2019] E.C.C. 8; [2019] C.T.L.C. 96 - successfully appearing in appeal against unfair relationship challenge under the Consumer Credit Act 1974 in which the trial judge re-wrote a loan agreement, relieving Matthew's client of some £1.3m in interest.
- *Combination Hip Prosthesis Litigation* - Defending consultant surgeons alleged to have become a "producer" of metal-on-metal hip implants within the meaning of the Consumer Protection Act 1987.

Click here for a [Privacy Policy](#) for Matthew Bradley.

Areas of Expertise

Product Liability

“Very pleasant to deal with.” “His advice is absolutely brilliant. You can give him a very complicated set of facts and he just takes control and guides you through what you need to do.” *Chambers & Partners, 2021*

“His expertise on product liability is invaluable.” *Legal 500, 2021*

“A confident advocate; strong on paper with an eye for detail.” *Legal 500, 2020*

“He is very nice and very approachable. He turns stuff around really, really quickly and his paperwork is great.” *Chambers & Partners, 2020*

Recommended in both legal directories for his product liability work, recent editions have noted that he is **“An excellent junior, whose advice is amazingly coherent and concise. He is a superb cross-examiner”** and **“technically very strong”**.

Matthew’s product liability work is broad-ranging and encompasses:

- **Group/Multi-party actions** – As with his commercial work, many of his product liability cases involve group / multi-party actions and he has provided seminars on this topic.
- **Commercial product liability disputes** including sale of goods and international sales of goods claims.
- **Property damage claims** involving damage allegedly caused by a variety of products, including component parts installed within products.
- **Product recalls** – Matthew wrote the chapter “Product Recall in the EU” in the International Product Law Manual and has advised on the adequacy of product recalls in various contexts, including in fire and property damage claims.
- **Automotive claims** – Matthew has represented various well known foreign-based manufacturers of cars and their subsidiary companies in claims brought under the Consumer Protection Act and in contract. He is familiar with the jurisdictional issues that may arise in those cases.
- **Inquests** – Matthew has appeared at a large number of inquests on behalf of manufacturers of domestic appliances and products alleged to have caused death and is sensitive to the brand reputation issues engaged by such hearings.

Recent instructions include:

- Acting for well-known German manufacturer in ongoing multimillion pound dispute concerning defective supply of oil isolation valves for use in domestic boilers.
- *Goknur v Organic Village Ltd* [2019] EWHC 2201 – successfully defending Turkish fruit juice supplier at trial of claim about adulterated fruit juice: claim in deceit defeated and no substantive damages (including for lost profits) awarded to claimant, despite established misrepresentation and breach of contract.
- Various disputes relating to commercial and domestic fires arising from allegedly defective products.
- Advising toy manufacturer as to potential regulatory action and product recall.
- Advising as to liability for defects in +£1m MRI imaging equipment produced by well-known electronics producer.
- Advising as to “take or pay” obligations and non-conforming product in contract for production and supply of carbonating gas.
- Acting for various defendants in claims relating to metal-on-metal hip implants.
- Advising as to a potential group action against a variety of diesel car manufacturers.
- *Combination Hip Prosthesis Litigation* – Defending various consultant surgeons alleged to have become a “producer” of metal-on-metal hip implants within the meaning of the Consumer Protection Act 1987 by reason of having combined component parts from different manufacturers. Resisting an application for a reference to the ECJ.
- Acting in a number of Consumer Protection Act and negligence claims brought against manufacturers of household appliances alleged to have caused house fires.

Qualifications & Memberships

Education & Scholarships



NEW SQUARE

- BA (Hons) (Oxon) 2000, (French & German) 1st Class
- PgDL, City University
- Lord Woolf Scholar (Daily Telegraph/1 Crown Office Row)
- Hardwicke, Haldane & Thomas Moore Scholar (Lincoln's Inn)
- Phoenicia Scholar (Bar European Group)

Memberships

- The Commercial Bar Association
- London Common Law and Commercial Bar Association
- Commercial Fraud Lawyers Association
- International Bar Association

Languages

- French
- German
- Spanish

Insights

Where does the law stand now on discounts for minority holdings in non quasi-partnership companies?

15 July 2019

In this article, Hugh Jory QC and Matthew Bradley take a closer look at the impact of the controversial decision in *Re Blue Index Ltd* [2014] EWHC 2680 (Ch) on a line of recent cases, the last having been handed down, in *Dinglis v Dinglis* [2019] EWHC 1664 (Ch), on 28 June 2019.

Share Valuation in Shareholder Disputes

10 July 2019

In this article, Hugh Jory QC and Matthew Bradley explore the art of share valuation and the different approaches that can be taken.

Unlawful Means Conspiracy & Knowledge of Unlawfulness: Continued Chaos

6 June 2019

This article considers whether a claimant must prove knowledge of the fact of unlawfulness on a defendant's part, in order to establish an unlawful means conspiracy.

Information v advice: inadequate labels but important principles

31 May 2019

Matthew Bradley and Tom Asquith consider the recent Court of Appeal decision in *Manchester Building Society v Grant Thornton UK LLP* in the context of financial advice, providing points of note for practitioners looking to draw a line between taking responsibility for a decision and merely providing information which feeds into that decision.

Share Purchase Breach of Warranty – correct measure of loss (Oversea – Chinese Banking Corp Ltd v ING Bank NV)

Matthew Bradley examines the recent High Court decision in *Oversea-Chinese Banking Corp Ltd v ING Bank NV* and considers whether a diminution in value approach must always be followed in breach of warranty claims in the share purchase context.

Serious irregularity challenge to LCIA award dismissed by Commercial Court (Orascom TMT Investments v VEON)



Matthew Bradley analyses the decision of Mr Justice Andrew Baker in the Commercial Court to dismiss a serious irregularity challenge to a LCIA tribunal's award for failure to deal with all the issues that were put to it.

JSC BTA Bank v Khrapunov & Unlawful Means Conspiracy: The Search for Clarity Continues

18 April 2018

The Supreme Court's decision in *JSC BTA Bank v Khrapunov* [2018] UKSC 19 is the most significant consideration of the tort of conspiracy since the House of Lords' 2008 decision in *Total Network SL*. Matthew Bradley considers its particular impact on our understanding of the tort of unlawful means conspiracy here.